UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,498	08/29/2005	Georg Tempel	10808/201	9218
	7590 01/30/200 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
INFINEON PO BOX 10395		WEISS, HOWARD		
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/521,498	TEMPEL, GEORG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Howard Weiss	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2005 is/are:	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r.	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0105.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/521,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: 10808/201

Filing Date: 8/29/2005

Continuing Data: 371 of PCT/DE03/02352 (07/11/2003)

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: 7/15/2002 (GEX)

Applicant(s): Tempel

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the Group II invention, Claims 9 to 20, in the reply filed on 12/5/2007 is acknowledged. Claims 1 to 8 have been canceled.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

 Claim 10 recites the limitation "the semiconductor substrate having a (100) surface orientation" in Lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 9, 11, 12 and 14 to 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Legoues et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,810,924).

Legoues et al. show all aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 1) including:

➤ forming a Si carrier 12 with a (100) surface orientation

Application/Control Number: 10/521,498 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

forming a SiGe crystalline stress generator layer 16

- ➤ forming a CaF₂ insulating stress-transmitting layer **18** (Column 15 Lines 13 to 24)
- forming a Si stress-absorbing, crystalline semiconductor layer 22
- ➢ forming a high dielectric constant gate dielectric 26 and a metal control layer 35 on said Si stress-absorbing semiconductor layer and source/drain regions 37,38 in said Si stress-absorbing semiconductor layer

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Legoues et al. and Cho (U.S. Patent No. 5,569,538).

Legoues et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 5) except for the buffer layer epitaxially deposited on the Si carrier and using molecular beam epitaxy process. Cho teaches (e.g. Figure 1 and Column 3 Lines 55 to 62) to form a buffer layer 22 epitaxially deposited on a Si carrier 20 and using molecular beam epitaxy process to form an SOI structure without defects (Column 2 Lines 11 to 20). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form a buffer layer epitaxially deposited on a Si carrier and using molecular beam epitaxy process as taught By Cho in the process of Legoues et al. to form an SOI structure without defects.

8. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Legoues et al. and Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,475,857).

Application/Control Number: 10/521,498

Art Unit: 2814

Legoues et al. disclose the claimed invention (Paragraph 5) except for the use of the explicitly use of HfO₂ as the gate dielectric and TiN as the control layer. Kim et al. 2 teach (Column 11 Lines 19 to 29 and Column 13 Lines 5 to 8) that HfO₂ and TiN are equivalent gate dielectric and control layer materials known in the art. Therefore, all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention. See Supreme Court Decision in KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. --, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007).

Page 4

Conclusion

- 9. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at (571) 272-1720 and between the hours of 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/521,498 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free).

12. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field	Date	
U.S. Class / Subclass(es):	257/E21.125	1/28/2008
Other Documentation:	PLUS Analysis Report	1/28/2008
Electronic Database(s):	EAST	1/28/2008

HW/hw 30 January 2008 /Howard Weiss/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814